Case 5:09-mj-70425-MRGD Document 4 Filed 05/15/09 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES O	F AMERICA	, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u> </u>
	1	•	
In accordance with the	ne Bail Reform	n Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314	2(f), a detention hearing was held on 5/15/04. Defendant was
present, represented	by his attorney	. C. hie. T	The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney
PART I. PRESUMPTI	ONS APPLICA	BLE	
/ / The def	endant is char	ged with an offense de	escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense des	cribed in 18 U	J.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) whi	ile on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
period of not more th	an five (5) yea	ars has elapsed since th	he date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on Silver Defendant was by his attorney Q. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Silver TIONS APPLICABLE Fendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a han five (5) years has classed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, sishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety and the community. Is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant ffense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq., or § 955 at seq., OR under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony. Is she a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community. Sumption applies. LOF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE fendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he defendant has not come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: urden of proof shifts back to the United States. WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE) inited States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will the safety of any other person and the community. NENDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION out has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at a sa follows: NENDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION out has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at a sa follows: NENDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION out has tak		
This establis	hes a rebuttab	le presumption that no	o condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
_		-	
	probable cau	se based upon (the ind	lictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an off	ense		
A. 🚜	for which	n a maximum term of i	imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
	801 et se	q., § 951 et seq., or § 9	955a et seq., OR
В	unaci 10	0.0.0. y 227(0), uso t	of a incami during the commission of a follow,
This establis	hes a rebuttab	le presumption that no	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assume the
appearance of the de	endant as requ	ired and the safety of	the community.
<u>-</u>			NORTHER
			$\sim n + 1 + 1 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$
		come forward with su	ufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he V^{OO} $\in V^{OO}$
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. // No presumption applies. PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE // The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore will be ordered detained. // The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE) // The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
/ / The def	the presented by his attorney _C		
Thus the hu	rden of proof	shifts back to the Unite	ed States
		-	
_			
()		h	
He was Neson	and MA	Midden 11 in	
A PLINION ON	al stens	and the a mark	
To Larged (Ash His		
Console St	FLA MAJI	a journa III	int with the backet of the
/ / Defenda	nt his attorne	and the AUSA have	waived written findings.
			ttorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
		_	
· · ·		-	
		• •	
		F F F	
ated: 5/15/09			(Jahren 1) Summer !
			PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL

United States Magistrate Judge